

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Audiest Commissioner For Patent'S P.O. D. 1450 P.O. D. 1450 Alexandra, Virgini 22313-1450 www.uslub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/699.665	11/04/2003	Christophe Gustave	ALC 3096	6478
7590 02/28/2007 KRAMER & AMADO, P.C. Suite 240			EXAMINER	
			KOEMPEL THOMAS, BEATRICE L	
1725 Duke Street Alexandaria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , ,			2132	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	NTHS	02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/699,665	GUSTAVE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bea Koempel-Thomas	2132	
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  m the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 f	Vovember 2003.		ļ
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	,		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		***
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 04 November 2003 is/s  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So action is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	manus fine con t
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received.	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 March 2004 and 6 April 2005.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-12 are pending in this application and presented for examination.

## **Objections**

## Specification

- 2. The specification is objected to because is does not include reference character(s) corresponding to claimed elements and limitations in the drawings (Figure 3). Furthermore, because the use of reference characters in the drawings is generally lacking, the specification needs to be amended corresponding with necessary drawing amendments.
- 3. An amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) is required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. No new matter may be added.

#### **Drawings**

- 4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include reference sign(s) corresponding to the claimed elements and limitations.

  Corrected drawing sheets, illustrating and identifying the claimed elements and limitations, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application.
- 5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference characters, icons, and abbreviations not mentioned in the

Art Unit: 2132

description: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 5620 NM (NMS), 1353/EMS, NE 1, NE 2, NE 3 (Figure 3); and various icons on each flow arrow (Figure 4).

6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), and/or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 does not conform to the requirement for idiomatic English and/or contains grammatical errors such that its meaning and scope are indefinite.

Art Unit: 2132

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 1, 4, 5, 7, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ferchichi et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0012382 A1, (hereinafter "Ferchichi").
- 11. **Regarding claims 1 and 7**: Ferchichi discloses a method (Title) and system ([0048] module can include hardware and software) respectively, of authenticating an end-user client in a computer-based communication system comprising the steps of:
- a) sending, by the end-user client, an authenticating domain identifier to an authentication server ([0012] [0015] request);
- b) creating, by the authentication server and depending on the authentication domain identifier, an authentication stack comprising one or more stack entries ([0012] [0015] store request);
- c) rendering, for each stack entry and depending thereon, an authentication service to produce an authentication result for that entry ([0012] [0015] check authentication mode); and

Art Unit: 2132

d) consolidating authentication results to obtain an authentication status for the end-user client ([0221] synchronization status).

- 12. Regarding claims 4 and 10: Ferchichi discloses that the authentication service includes local and remote services ([0049] [0050] local authentication via single sign on module required for authentication for remote access).
- 13. Regarding claims 5 and 11: Ferchichi discloses that the local and remote services include biometric schemes ([0048] [0050]), cryptographic hardware services ([0048] and [0064] cryptographic hardware), smart cards ([0048] [0050]), and USB tokens (0061] token).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. Claims 2, 3, 6, 8, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferchichi in view of Shimada et al., U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0154373 A1, (hereinafter "Shimada").

Art Unit: 2132

16. Regarding claims 2 and 8: Ferchichi does not disclose that the authentication identifies an application ID. Shimada discloses that the authentication identifies an application ID ([0040] - [0041] authentication dependent on application).

Page 6

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ferchichi by application dependent parameters as taught by Shimada in order to enable services to operate on a variety of platforms, (see Shimada [0040]).

17. Regarding claims 3 and 9: Ferchichi does not disclose that the authentication server, dependent on the application ID, retrieves a configuration specifying authentication application, which configuration is used for creating the authentication stack.

Shimada discloses that the authentication server, dependent on the application ID, retrieves a configuration specifying authentication application, which configuration is used for creating the authentication stack ([0040] configuration depends on application and device).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ferchichi by application dependent parameters as taught by Shimada in order to enable services to operate on a variety of platforms, (see Shimada [0040]).

18. Regarding claims 6 and 12: Ferchichi does not disclose that, responsive to an authentication status corresponding to a successful authentication, a unique session ID is sent to the end-user client.

Art Unit: 2132

Shimada discloses that, responsive to an authentication status corresponding to a successful authentication, a unique session ID is sent to the end-user client ([0457] session ID associated with user).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ferchichi by application dependent parameters as taught by Shimada in order to enable services to operate on a variety of platforms, (see Shimada [0040]).

#### Conclusion

- 19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is:
  - Leung, U.S. Patent No. 6,760,444 B1, regarding mobile IP authentication.
  - Pham et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,678,828 B1, regarding secure network file access control systems.

Please direct any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner to Bea Koempel-Thomas whose telephone number is 571-270-1252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday & alternate Fridays; 0730 - 1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron, at 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bea Koempel-Thomas, Esq.

Patent Examiner

AU 2132

2/26/2007

Benjamin E. Lanier Ganiner AM 2132